

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,335	12/15/2005	Douglas Denney	US030227	6010
28159 PHILIPS MED	7590 01/24/2008 ICAL SYSTEMS		US030227 6010 EXAMINER MANUEL, GEORGE C ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3762	INER
PHILIPS INTE	LLECTUAL PROPERTY	TY & STANDARDS MANUEL, GEORGE C		GEORGE C
P.O. BOX 3003 22100 BOTHE	3 LL EVERETT HIGHWA`	Y	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOTHELL, WA	A 98041-3003		3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			[]			
•2	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/561,335	DENNEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George Manuel	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	;			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,14 and 19-23 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,14 and 19-23 is/are rejectively. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the co	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeyar orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR:1.1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the attached detailed Office action for a cop	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stag	e			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	•			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/05. 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

10/561,335 Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement, filed 12/15/05 cites a reference US 6,076,396. This number does not appear to be correct. From the International Search Report, it appears the reference number should be US 6,075,396. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 14 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Denniston et al (US 3,805,795).

Denniston et al disclose an automatic cardioverting circuit and teaches that "defibrillation" is included in the term "cardioversion" as a method of applying electrical shocks to the heart to defibrillate a fibrillating atrium or a fibrillating ventricle. Electrical line 11 is connected to a conductive elastomer body within electrical lead 16 which changes impedance when flexed by a heart contraction. The examiner is interpreting

10/561,335

Art Unit: 3762

the lead 16 to comprise a belt surrounding an electrode pad and the lead is inherently made of metal. A lead is used to detect the EKG using electrically conductive electrodes; the heart contractions are detected by an elastomer body which changes impedance whenever it is flexed, as for example, by heart contraction; and the cardioverting electrical impulses are applied to the heart via the same electrodes as those used to detect the EKG.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 8, 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denniston et al (US 3,805,795).

Denniston et al shows all of the claimed features except for a package containing the electrodes. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to package the device of Denniston et al to prevent contamination and to keep the device in a sterile environment until the device is to be used with a patient.

Claims 6, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denniston et al (US 3,805,795) in view of Keusch et al (US 4,706,680).

Denniston et al show or render obvious all of the claimed features except for a hydrogel.

Keusch et al teach it is desirable for a medical electrode used for defibrillation to not store an electrical charge, and to have a low solution potential with the skin and be of low impedance.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to combine a hydrogel with the electrodes disclosed in Denniston et al because Keusch et al teach the hydrogels are biologically inert, and are suited to the detection of signals requiring application to or implanted within sensitive areas of the body.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.

/George Manuel/ George Manuel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762